

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,133	01/24/2	2002	Andrew Storm	52637-0033	1850
29989	7590	03/31/2005		EXAM	INER
HICKMAN 2055 GATEW	PALERMO T	LU, ЛА			
SUITE 550	······································			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, (	CA 95110			2634	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/057,133	STORM ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jia W. Lu	2634					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	24 January 2002.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-5,8-14,17-23,26-32,35 and 36 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 6,7,15,16,24,25,33 and 34 is/are objected to.							
Applicat	ion Papers		·					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠	)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔯 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sl or No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/22/02, 05/06/02</u> .		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO 	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/057,133 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph
 line 3, "RLS" should be properly spelled out.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 8-10, 17-19, 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thomas E. Pare, Jr. in US patent 6,834,109.

- a. Regarding claims 1, 10 and 19, patent '109 discloses a communications receiver including a time domain equalizer (figure 2, element 212), a frequency domain equalizer (figure 2, element 214), and updating means to update both time and frequency domain equalizers based on communication performance (figure 2, element 210).
- Regarding claims 8, 17 and 26, patent '109 describes the receiver in a digital subscriber line configuration (column 1, line 42).

Application/Control Number: 10/057,133

Art Unit: 2634

c. Regarding claims 9, 18 and 27, patent '109 describes the receiver as part of a discrete multitone system (column 7, lines 27-31).

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-4, 11-13, 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 6,834,109 as applied to claims 1, 10, and 19 above, and further in view of US patent 5,513,215.
  - a. Regarding claim 2, 11 and 20, patent '109 does not disclose an update mechanism using the updating of coefficients in the equalizer. However, patent '215 describes a receiver that uses the updating of coefficients as a part of equalizer updating process (abstract, lines 11-17). It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to use the updating of coefficient as means for updating an equalizer as described in patent '109 to allow customization of equalizer properties.
  - b. Regarding claim 3, 12 and 21, patent '109 does not disclose synchronization means in its equalizer update mechanism. However, patent '215 describes the use of pilot symbols as means for synchronization in its equalizer (abstract, lines 11-17). It would have been

Art Unit: 2634

obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to use synchronization as part of an equalizer update mechanism like one described in patent '109 to ensure accuracy and uniformity of data reception.

- c. Regarding claim 4, 13 and 22, patent '109 does not disclose a comparison of performance between two sets of equalizer coefficients. However, patent '215 describes a comparison of performance of a first and second set of equalizer coefficients as means for its update mechanism (column 27, lines 50- column 28, line 2). It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to use a comparison between two sets of equalizer coefficients in an equalizer update mechanism as described in patent '109 to determine the best suited coefficients for varying data.
- 4. Claims 5, 14 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 6,834,109 in view of US patent 5,513,215 as applied to claims 4, 13 and 22 above, further in view of US patent 6,418,558. Both patents '109 and '215 failed to teach that the operating of the equalization coefficients are determined by the signal to noise ratio; patent '558 describes in detail the use of signal-to-noise ratio in its equalizer adjustments (column 55, lines 38- 42). It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to use the signal to noise ratio as an equalization coefficient determining factor because the signal to noise ratio is an indicator to error signal.
- 5. Claims 28, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 6,834,109, in view of US patent 6,418,558.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,133 Page 5

Art Unit: 2634

a. Regarding claim 28, patent '109 discloses a system that updates equalizers for both time and frequency domains. However, it does not explicitly describe its use in a computer-readable medium. Patent '558 describes an system including equalizers that is for data in a digital computer medium (column 10, lines 42-53). It would be obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to place equalizers with updating mechanisms in a computer-readable medium because the incorporation of a computer into the system's operation allows for flexibility and efficiency in its implementation.

- b. Claim 35 inherits the limitation of claim 8 above.
- c. Claim 36 inherits the limitation of claim 9 above.
- 6. Claims 29- 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 6,834,109 in view of US patent 6,418,558 as applied to claim 28 above, further in view of US patent 5,513,215.
  - a. Claim 29 inherits the limitation of claim 2 above.
  - b. Claim 30 inherits the limitation of claim 3 above.
  - c. Claim 31 inherits the limitation of claim 4 above.
  - d. Claim 32 inherits the limitation of claim 5 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/057,133 Page 6

Art Unit: 2634

7. Claims 6, 7,15, 16, 24, 25, 33 and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jia W. Lu whose telephone number is 571-272-6042. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 9:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jia Lu Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800